arXiv:1411.1936v2 [math.NA] 24 Jul 2015

Radially symmetric thin plate splines interpolating a circular contour map

Aurelian Bejancu
Kuwait University, PO Box 5969, Safat 13060, Kuwait*

February 6, 2015

Abstract

Profiles of radially symmetric thin plate spline surfaces minimizing the Beppo Levi energy over a compact annulus $R_1 \leq r \leq R_2$ have been studied by Rabut via reproducing kernel methods. Motivated by our recent construction of Beppo Levi polyspline surfaces, we focus here on minimizing the radial energy over the full semi-axis $0 < r < \infty$. Using a L-spline approach, we find two types of minimizing profiles: one is the limit of Rabut's solution as $R_1 \to 0$ and $R_2 \to \infty$ (identified as a 'non-singular' L-spline), the other has a second-derivative singularity and matches an extra data value at 0. For both profiles and $p \in [2, \infty]$, we establish the L^p-approximation order $3/2+1/p$ in the radial energy space. We also include numerical examples and obtain a novel representation of the minimizers in terms of dilates of a basis function.

Keywords: thin plate spline, radially symmetric function, L-spline, Beppo Levi polyspline, approximation order

AMS Classification: 41A05, 41A15, 41A63, 65D07

1 Introduction

A well-known tool for scattered data interpolation, the *thin plate spline* surface is defined as the unique minimizer of the squared seminorm

$$\|F\|_{BL}^2 := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|F_{xx}|^2 + 2\,|F_{xy}|^2 + |F_{yy}|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y, \tag{1}$$

among all admissible F taking prescribed values at given points (not all collinear) in the plane. Here, BL denotes the *Beppo Levi* space of admissible continuous functions F with generalized second-order derivatives in $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^2\right)$, equipped with

*E-mail: aurelian@sci.kuniv.edu.kw

the above defined seminorm. Originally discovered and utilized in aerospace engineering (Sabin [22], Harder and Desmarais [12]), thin plate splines became a research topic in approximation theory with the work of Duchon [11].

A different bivariate interpolation problem is to construct a surface that matches boundary and internal curves within a bounded domain—in CAGD jargon, this is referred to as *transfinite* interpolation. One solution to this problem, via Kounchev's *polyspline* method [18], defines a unique piecewise biharmonic and globally C^2 surface passing through the given curve data, subject to additional boundary conditions, such as given normal derivative values.

Using the polyspline method, Bejancu [6] has recently proposed a new type of thin plate spline surfaces, matching continuous curves prescribed along concentric circles. These surfaces, called *Beppo Levi polysplines on annuli*, are minimizers of the polar coordinate version of (1), namely

$$\|f\|_{BL}^2 := \int_0^\infty \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ |f_{rr}|^2 + 2 \left| \frac{f_\theta}{r^2} - \frac{f_{\theta r}}{r} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{f_{\theta\theta}}{r^2} + \frac{f_r}{r} \right|^2 \right\} r \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}r, \qquad (2)$$

where $f(r,\theta) := F(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$ is the polar form of $F \in C^2\left(\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}\right) \cap BL$. Related Beppo Levi polyspline surfaces interpolating continuous periodic data along parallel lines or hyperplanes have also been studied in [4, 5, 7].

The construction of Beppo Levi polysplines on annuli is based on their Fourier series representation in θ, with amplitude coefficients depending on r. In this paper, we focus on the special case of radially symmetric Beppo Levi polysplines, which arise as zero-frequency amplitudes (non-zero frequencies are treated in [8]). Note that, for radial functions $f(r,\theta) \equiv f(r)$, the energy functional (2) takes the following form (up to a constant factor):

$$\int_0^\infty \left(r \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f}{\mathrm{d}r^2} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{r} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}r} \right|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}r. \qquad (3)$$

Therefore, minimizing (3) subject to f taking prescribed values at the set of positive radii $r_1 < \ldots < r_n$ is equivalent to determining the profile f of a *radially symmetric thin plate spline* surface interpolating a circular contour map at the concentric circles $r = r_j$, $1 \le j \le n$.

For $0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty$, Rabut [21] has previously studied the related problem of minimizing $\int_{R_1}^{R_2} (r\,|f''|^2 + \frac{1}{r}\,|f'|^2)\,\mathrm{d}r$ in place of (3), subject to the interpolation conditions at $r_1, \ldots, r_n$. Rabut obtained a closed form solution to this problem via the method of abstract splines and reproducing kernels.

Our approach (section 2) exploits the fact that an interpolating minimizer of (3) is actually a univariate L-spline over $(0,\infty)$, of continuity class C^2 at the knots $r_1, \ldots, r_n$. The key observation is that, after imposing the interpolation constraints and the condition that (3) be finite, there remains an extra degree of freedom on the interval $(0, r_1)$. This enables us to obtain two types of minimizers: one is the limit of Rabut's profile as $R_1 \to 0$ and $R_2 \to \infty$ (generating a surface which is biharmonic at 0), the other has a second-derivative singularity and matches an extra data value at 0. We study the main properties of these

profiles in section 3, including their linear representation over $(0,\infty)$ in terms of dilates of a basis function.

For both minimizing profiles and $p \in [2,\infty]$, in section 4 we establish a $L^p[r_1,r_n]$-error bound of order $O\left(h^{3/2+1/p}\right)$, where h is the maximum distance between consecutive radii. This is further applied to derive, in [8], a L^2-convergence result for transfinite surface interpolation with biharmonic Beppo Levi polysplines on annuli. We also prove that the exponent $3/2$ in the above approximation order cannot be increased in general for data functions from the radial energy space. In section 5, we illustrate the numerical accuracy, as well as several graphs of the resulting interpolatory L-spline profiles, and point out a connection with Johnson's recent construction of compactly supported radial basis functions [15].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Admissible profiles

Let AC_{loc} be the vector space of functions $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{C}$ which are absolutely continuous on any interval $[a,b]$, where $0<a<b<\infty$. Throughout this paper, Λ_0 denotes the space of complex-valued functions $f\in C^1(0,\infty)$, such that $f'\in AC_{loc}$ and the integral (3) is finite. For any $f,\,g\in\Lambda_0$, we define the semi-inner product

$$\langle f,g\rangle_0 := \int_0^\infty \left(r\,f''(r)\,\overline{g}''(r)+\frac{1}{r}\,f'(r)\,\overline{g}'(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r. \tag{4}$$

The induced squared seminorm $\|f\|_0^2 := \langle f,f\rangle_0$ on Λ_0 equals the radial Beppo Levi energy (3).

Lemma 1 *If $f\in\Lambda_0$, then f can be extended by continuity at 0. Also, the relation $f'(r)=O(1)$ holds as $r\to 0$ and as $r\to\infty$.*

Proof. Using the Leibniz-Newton formula $f(r)=f(s)+\int_s^r f'(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$ for $r,s>0$, as well as the Cauchy-Schwarz estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|f(r)-f(s)| &= \left|\int_s^r t^{1/2}\left[t^{-1/2}f'(t)\right]\mathrm{d}t\right| \\ &\le \left|\int_s^r t\,\mathrm{d}t\right|^{1/2}\left|\int_s^r \left|t^{-1/2}f'(t)\right|^2\mathrm{d}t\right|^{1/2} \\ &\le 2^{-1/2}\left|r^2-s^2\right|^{1/2}\|f\|_0\,,\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

it follows that f is Lipschitz of order $1/2$ on any bounded subinterval of $(0,\infty)$. In particular, f is uniformly continuous on $(0,1)$, hence it can be extended by

continuity at 0. Next, since $f' \in AC_{loc}$, we have, for each $r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-1} f'(r) - f'(1) &= \int_1^r \left[t^{-1} f'(t) \right]' \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_1^r t^{-3/2} \left[t^{1/2} f''(t) - t^{-1/2} f'(t) \right] \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_1^r t^{-3/2} \left[t^{1/2} f''(t) \right] \mathrm{d}t \right| + \left| \int_1^r t^{-3/2} \left[t^{-1/2} f'(t) \right] \mathrm{d}t \right| \\ \leq & \left| \int_1^r t^{-3} \mathrm{d}t \right|^{1/2} \left\{ \left| \int_1^r \left| t^{1/2} f''(t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right|^{1/2} + \left| \int_1^r \left| t^{-1/2} f'(t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right|^{1/2} \right\} \\ \leq & 2^{1/2} \left| 1 - r^{-2} \right|^{1/2} \|f\|_0 \end{aligned}$$

implies the existence of a constant $C_f \geq 0$ such that

$$|f'(r)| \leq C_f \left(r + \left| 1 - r^2 \right|^{1/2} \right), \quad \forall r > 0,$$

hence $f'(r) = O(1)$ as $r \to 0$. The boundedness of f' at ∞ follows similarly from

$$\begin{aligned} |r f'(r) - f'(1)| &= \left| \int_1^r \left[t f'(t) \right]' \mathrm{d}t \right| \\ &= \left| \int_1^r t^{1/2} \left[t^{1/2} f''(t) + t^{-1/2} f'(t) \right] \mathrm{d}t \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_1^r t^{1/2} \left[t^{1/2} f''(t) \right] \mathrm{d}t \right| + \left| \int_1^r t^{1/2} \left[t^{-1/2} f'(t) \right] \mathrm{d}t \right|, \end{aligned}$$

followed by the Cauchy-Schwarz estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & |r f'(r) - f'(1)| \\ \leq & \left| \int_1^r t \mathrm{d}t \right|^{1/2} \left\{ \left| \int_1^r \left| t^{1/2} f''(t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right|^{1/2} + \left| \int_1^r \left| t^{-1/2} f'(t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right|^{1/2} \right\} \\ \leq & 2^{-1/2} \left| r^2 - 1 \right|^{1/2} \|f\|_0 . \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

2.2 L-spline framework

Our L-spline approach is motivated by the necessary conditions satisfied by a minimizer of (3). First, note that the self-adjoint Euler-Lagrange differential

operator associated to the integrand of (3) is

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &:= \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}r^2}\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}r^2}\right) - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left(\frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right) \\ &= r\frac{\mathrm{d}^4}{\mathrm{d}r^4} + 2\frac{\mathrm{d}^3}{\mathrm{d}r^3} - \frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \\ &= r\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The substitution $r = e^v$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}v} = r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}$, changes L_0 into a differential operator with constant coefficients in variable v. Factoring this operator and reverting to variable r, we obtain

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{r^3}\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} - 2\right)^2\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)^2,$$

and we identify the null space of L_0 as the 4-dimensional vector space

$$\operatorname{Ker} L_0 = \operatorname{span}\left\{r^2, r^2\ln r, 1, \ln r\right\}. \tag{6}$$

Hence usual calculus of variations suggests that a minimizer of (3) subject to prescribed values at $r_1 < \ldots < r_n$ should be in $\operatorname{Ker} L_0$ on each of the open intervals $(0, r_1)$, (r_1, r_2), $\ldots$, (r_n, ∞).

Second, as observed in [2], the convergence of the radial Beppo Levi energy integral (3) at 0 and ∞ implies that a minimizer should in fact belong to $\operatorname{span}\left\{r^2, r^2\ln r, 1\right\}$ for $r \in (0, r_1)$, and to $\operatorname{span}\{1, \ln r\}$ for $r \in (r_n, \infty)$. These two spans are null spaces of the following left and right 'boundary' operators:

$$G_0 := \frac{\mathrm{d}^3}{\mathrm{d}r^3} - \frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} = \frac{1}{r^3}\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} - 2\right)^2\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right),$$

$$R_0 := \frac{1}{r}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right] = \frac{1}{r^3}\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)^2.$$

Let ρ be the given set of positive knots $r_1 < \ldots < r_n$. The above considerations support the following definition.

Definition 1 (a) *A function $\eta : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a* Beppo Levi L_0-spline *on ρ if the following conditions hold:*

(i) $L_0\eta(r) = 0$, $\forall r \in (r_j, r_{j+1})$, $\forall j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$;

(ii) $G_0\eta(r) = 0$, $\forall r \in (0, r_1)$, *and* $R_0\eta(r) = 0$, $\forall r > r_n$;

(iii) η *is C^2-continuous at each knot r_1, $\ldots$, r_n.*

We denote by $\mathcal{S}_0(\rho) \subset \Lambda_0$ the class of all Beppo Levi L_0-splines on ρ.

(b) *A Beppo Levi L_0-spline $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ that satisfies $\eta \in \operatorname{span}\left\{r^2, 1\right\}$ for $r \in (0, r_1)$ is called* non-singular.

Remark 1 On any interval of positive real numbers, Kounchev [18, p. 104] characterized the null space of L_0 as an extended complete Chebyshev (ECT) system in the sense of Karlin and Ziegler [16], due to the representation

$$L_0 = D_4 D_3 D_2 D_1 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r},$$

where $D_1 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}$, $D_2 = D_4 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}(r\cdot)$, $D_3 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left(\frac{1}{r}\cdot\right)$. Following Schumaker [24, p. 398], we note that L_0 also admits the factorization

$$L_0 = L^* L,$$

where $L := \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} = r^{3/2} R_0$ and L^* denotes the formal adjoint of L. This type of factorization was employed in the early studies of Ahlberg, Nilson, and Walsh [1] and Schultz and Varga [23] to define 'generalized splines' and 'L-splines' as functions that are piecewise in the null space of L^*L and satisfy certain continuity conditions. Our definition adopts the subsequent terminology of Lucas [20] and Jerome and Pierce [13], according to which 'L-splines' are piecewise in the null space of a general self-adjoint differential operator L with variable coefficients.

Remark 2 The Beppo Levi boundary conditions (ii) of our definition do not agree with the usual 'natural' (or 'type II') conditions from L-spline literature [20, 13, 24], the latter being formulated in terms of one and the same differential operator outside the interpolation domain or at the endpoints of this domain.

3 Interpolation with Beppo Levi L_0-splines

Due to the new type of boundary conditions, the main properties of interpolation with Beppo Levi L_0-splines are not direct consequences of classical L-spline theory, but will be established in this section based on our first theorem below.

3.1 A fundamental orthogonality result

Theorem 1 *Let $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ and $\psi \in \Lambda_0$ such that*

$$\psi(r_j) = 0, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}. \tag{7}$$

If, in addition, we assume that either ψ satisfies

$$\psi(0) = 0, \tag{8}$$

or η is non-singular, then

$$\langle \eta, \psi \rangle_0 = 0. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Using the notation $r_0 := 0$, $r_{n+1} := \infty$, (4) implies

$$\langle \eta, \psi \rangle_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \int_{r_{j-1}}^{r_j} \left[r\,\eta''(r)\,\overline{\psi}''(r) + \frac{1}{r}\eta'(r)\,\overline{\psi}'(r) \right] \mathrm{d}r.$$

Since $\psi' \in AC_{loc}$, we may apply integration by parts to the first term of each integral to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \eta, \psi \rangle_0 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left[r\, \eta''(r)\, \overline{\psi}'(r) \right]_{r_{j-1}}^{r_j} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \int_{r_{j-1}}^{r_j} \overline{\psi}'(r) \left[r\, \eta'''(r) + \eta''(r) - \frac{1}{r} \eta'(r) \right] \mathrm{d}r.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the first sum of the above right-hand side is telescopic due to the continuity of η''. Hence, we only need to evaluate the boundary terms of this sum corresponding to $r := r_0 = 0$ and $r := r_{n+1} = \infty$. We invoke the specific form of $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ on the extreme sub-intervals: $\eta \in \operatorname{span}\left\{r^2, r^2 \ln r, 1\right\}$ for $r \in (0, r_1)$, and $\eta \in \operatorname{span}\{1, \ln r\}$ for $r \in (r_n, \infty)$. By Lemma 1, it follows that

$$r\, \eta''(r)\, \overline{\psi}'(r) = \begin{cases} O\left(r \left|\ln r\right|\right), & \text{as } r \to 0, \\ O\left(r^{-1}\right), & \text{as } r \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the boundary terms vanish in the limit at 0 and ∞.

On the other hand, the fact that $\eta \in \operatorname{Ker} L_0$ on each sub-interval implies the existence, for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\}$, of a constant b_j such that

$$r\, \eta'''(r) + \eta''(r) - \frac{1}{r} \eta'(r) = b_j, \quad \forall r \in (r_{j-1}, r_j), \ \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\},$$

where $b_{n+1} = 0$, since $\eta(r) \in \operatorname{span}\{1, \ln r\}$ for $r \in (r_n, \infty)$. Hence

$$\langle \eta, \psi \rangle_0 = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j \int_{r_{j-1}}^{r_j} \overline{\psi}'(r)\, \mathrm{d}r = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j \left[\overline{\psi}(r) \right]_{r_{j-1}}^{r_j} = b_1 \overline{\psi}(0),$$

the last equality being due to the vanishing condition (7) of ψ at the positive knots. Therefore (8) implies $\langle \eta, \psi \rangle_0 = 0$, as required. The same conclusion applies assuming that η is non-singular, since $b_1 = 0$ in that case. ■

3.2 Existence, uniqueness, variational characterization

Our L-spline formulation shows that the extra degree of freedom of any $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ on the leftmost interval $(0, r_1)$ allows one more restriction to be imposed on η, apart from the interpolation conditions at the knots. The next result obtains existence and uniqueness for two types of interpolatory profiles from $\mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$: one matching an extra data value at 0, the other being non-singular.

Theorem 2 *Let α, ν_1, ν_2,..., ν_n be arbitrary real values.*

(a) *There exists a unique Beppo Levi L_0-spline $\sigma^A \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$, such that*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma^A(r_j) = \nu_j, & \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, \\ \sigma^A(0) = \alpha. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

(b) *There exists a unique non-singular Beppo Levi L_0-spline $\sigma^B \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$, such that*

$$\sigma^B(r_j) = \nu_j, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \tag{11}$$

Proof. For convenience, we first prove part (b). It is sufficient to establish the existence of a unique function $\widetilde{\sigma} : [r_1, r_n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the properties: i) $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Ker} L_0$ on (r_{j-1}, r_j) for $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$; ii) $\widetilde{\sigma} \in C^2(r_1, r_n)$; iii) the interpolation conditions (11) hold for $\widetilde{\sigma}$ in place of σ^B; and iv) $\widetilde{\sigma}$ satisfies the endpoint conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \left[\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} - 2\right)\widetilde{\sigma}(r)\right]_{r \to r_1^+} = 0, \\ \left[\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)^2 \widetilde{\sigma}(r)\right]_{r \to r_n^-} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Indeed, one can uniquely determine the constants c_m for $m \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that the function σ^B defined by

$$\sigma^B(r) := \begin{cases} c_1 r^2 + c_2, & \text{if } 0 < r < r_1, \\ \widetilde{\sigma}(r), & \text{if } r_1 \le r \le r_n, \\ c_3 + c_4 \ln r, & \text{if } r_n < r, \end{cases}$$

is continuous and has a continuous first derivative at r_1 and r_n. This also ensures that $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}r^2}\sigma^B$ is continuous at r_1 and r_n, due to (12) and the fact that $\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)\left(r\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} - 2\right)\sigma^B(r) = 0$, $\forall 0 < r < r_1$, and $R_0\sigma^B(r) = 0$, $\forall r > r_n$. Hence, σ^B verifies the conditions required by the conclusion. Conversely, the above properties of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ hold with necessity for the restriction to $[r_1, r_N]$ of any non-singular Beppo Levi L_0-spline $\sigma^B \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ that satisfies (11).

Note that a function $\widetilde{\sigma}$ as described in the previous paragraph is determined by four coefficients on each subinterval (r_{j-1}, r_j), $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. These coefficients are required to satisfy the homogeneous linear equations given by three C^2-continuity conditions at each interior knot $r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}$ and two endpoint conditions (12), as well as the n interpolation conditions (11). Hence this $4(n-1) \times 4(n-1)$ system of linear equations becomes homogeneous if we assume zero interpolation values: $\nu_j = 0$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\widetilde{\sigma}$ be determined by an arbitrary solution of this homogeneous system and let $\sigma^B \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ be the unique extension of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ to a non-singular Beppo Levi L_0-spline as in the previous paragraph. Choosing $\eta = \psi := \sigma^B$ in Theorem 1, the orthogonality relation (9) implies $\left\|\sigma^B\right\|_0 = 0$, hence σ^B is a constant function on $(0, \infty)$. Since $\sigma^B(r_j) = 0$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we obtain $\sigma^B \equiv 0$, which shows that the homogeneous linear system admits only the trivial solution. Therefore the $4(n-1) \times 4(n-1)$ non-homogeneous system corresponding to arbitrary interpolation values has a unique solution, as required.

Part (a) of the theorem is similarly reduced to the existence of a unique function $\widetilde{\sigma}$, this time defined on $[0, r_n]$, with the properties: i) $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Ker} L_0$ on (r_{j-1}, r_j) for $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, while $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{span}\left\{r^2, r^2 \ln r, 1\right\}$ on $[0, r_1)$; ii) $\widetilde{\sigma} \in C^2(0, r_n)$; iii) $\widetilde{\sigma}$ satisfies (10) (in place of σ^A); iv) $\widetilde{\sigma}$ satisfies the second of the endpoint conditions (12). All these constraints amount to a system of $4n-1$ linear equations for as many coefficients. Then arguments similar to those of

the last paragraph show that the corresponding homogeneous linear system has only the trivial solution, which completes the proof. ■

Remark 3 Existence and uniqueness results for L_0-spline interpolation have previously been obtained by Kounchev [17, 18] under different boundary conditions. Specifically, Kounchev uses a clamped condition at the right-end point r_n (*i.e.*, the first-derivative is prescribed at r_n), while, at the left-end point r_1, his L_0-spline either is clamped or it satisfies the non-singularity condition stated in part (b) of Definition 1.

The next result shows that our L_0-spline interpolants are indeed profiles of radially symmetric thin plate spline surfaces minimizing the radial Beppo Levi seminorm (3).

Theorem 3 *Given arbitrary real values α, ν_1, ν_2,..., ν_n, let σ^A and σ^B denote the unique Beppo Levi L_0-splines obtained in Theorem 2. Then $\|\sigma^A\|_0 < \|f\|_0$ whenever $f \in \Lambda_0$ satisfies* (10) *in place of σ^A and $f \neq \sigma^A$. Also, if $f \in \Lambda_0$, $f \neq \sigma^B$, and f satisfies conditions* (11) *in place of σ^B, then $\|\sigma^B\|_0 < \|f\|_0$.*

Proof. Assume that $f \in \Lambda_0$, f satisfies the same interpolation conditions (10) as σ^A, and let $\eta := \sigma^A$, $\psi := f - \sigma^A$. Since ψ satisfies (7) and (8), by Theorem 1 we have

$$\left\langle \sigma^A, f - \sigma^A \right\rangle_0 = 0,$$

which implies the *first integral relation*

$$\|f\|_0^2 = \|\sigma^A\|_0^2 + \|f - \sigma^A\|_0^2 . \tag{13}$$

Therefore $\|f\|_0 \geq \|\sigma^A\|_0$, with equality only if $\|f - \sigma^A\|_0 = 0$, which is equivalent to $\left(f - \sigma^A\right)'(r) = 0$, for all $r \in (0, \infty)$. Since $f - \sigma^A$ takes zero values at the knots r_1,..., r_n, this implies $f \equiv \sigma^A$, which proves the first part of the theorem. The second part follows similarly, based on the corresponding relation (13) with σ^B replacing σ^A. ■

Remark 4 Although the related Beppo Levi L-splines studied in [8] employ mutually adjoint boundary operators on the extreme subintervals $(0, r_1)$ and (r_n, ∞), it can be verified that our non-singular full-space minimizer σ^B does not share this property. Note that adjoint boundary conditions were first identified in the context of univariate interpolation by full-space Matérn kernels [5, 7] (for a recent treatment of Matérn kernels on a compact interval, see [10]).

3.3 The limit of Rabut's minimizers on compact intervals

As stated in the Introduction, for $0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty$, Rabut [21] used reproducing kernel theory to study the solution s_{R_1,R_2} of the problem of minimizing $\int_{R_1}^{R_2} (\, r\,|f''|^2 + \frac{1}{r}\,|f'|^2)\,\mathrm{d}r$ in place of (3), subject to prescribed values ν_1, ..., ν_n of f at given points r_1, ..., r_n of the compact interval $[R_1, R_2]$.

Proposition 1 *Let $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n$ be arbitrary real values and σ^B the non-singular Beppo Levi L_0-spline interpolant to these values at $r_1, \ldots, r_n$, as in Theorem 2. Then σ^B coincides with the pointwise limit, as $R_1 \to 0$ and $R_2 \to \infty$, of Rabut's interpolant s_{R_1,R_2} to the same data.*

Proof. Let H_{R_1,R_2} denote the reproducing kernel [21, (3.2)]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{R_1,R_2}(r,t) &= K(r,t) - K(r,r_1) - K(r_1,t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8(R_2^2 - R_1^2)} \left(r^2 - r_1^2 + 2R_1^2 \ln \frac{r}{r_1} \right) \left(t^2 - r_1^2 + 2R_2^2 \ln \frac{t}{r_1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where K is defined by [21, (3.1)]

$$K(r,t) = \frac{1}{4} \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r \le t, \\ t^2 - r^2 + (r^2 + t^2) \ln \frac{r}{t}, & \text{if } r > t. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Then the minimizer s_{R_1,R_2} can be expressed as [21, (2.9)]

$$s_{R_1,R_2}(r) = \nu_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \lambda_j H_{R_1,R_2}(r, r_j), \quad R_1 \le r \le R_2, \tag{15}$$

for some real coefficients $\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Note that $H_{R_1,R_2}(r_1,t) = 0$ implies $s_{R_1,R_2}(r_1) = \nu_1$. Therefore the coefficients of (15) satisfy the system

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n} \lambda_j H_{R_1,R_2}(r_k, r_j) = \nu_k - \nu_1, \quad k = 2, \ldots, n. \tag{16}$$

Since, by [21, Theorem 6(ii)], this system admits a solution for arbitrary right-hand side data, it follows that its matrix is invertible. Let

$$d(R_1, R_2) := \det \left(H_{R_1,R_2}(r_k, r_j) \right)_{k,j=2}^{n} \neq 0,$$

and, for $j = 2, \ldots, n$, denote by $d_j(R_1, R_2)$ the determinant obtained by replacing the j-th column of the matrix of (16) by the vector of right-hand side values of (16). Then, by Cramer's rule, we have

$$\lambda_j = \frac{d_j(R_1, R_2)}{d(R_1, R_2)}, \quad j = 2, \ldots, n. \tag{17}$$

Let $H_{0,\infty}$ the pointwise limit of H_{R_1,R_2} as $R_1 \to 0$ and $R_2 \to \infty$, hence

$$H_{0,\infty}(r,t) = K(r,t) - K(r,r_1) - K(r_1,t) + \frac{1}{4}(r^2 - r_1^2) \ln \frac{t}{r_1}, \tag{18}$$

and let $d(0,\infty)$ and $d_j(0,\infty)$ the corresponding limit values of $d(R_1,R_2)$ and $d_j(R_1,R_2)$. In order to obtain the pointwise limit of expression (15), we need to establish the existence of limit values for each of the coefficients $\lambda_2, \ldots,$

λ_n. This amounts, via (17), to showing that $d(0,\infty) \neq 0$, *i.e.* the limit system obtained by replacing H_{R_1,R_2} by $H_{0,\infty}$ in (16) has a non-singular matrix.

To prove the latter claim, assume that $\nu_k = 0$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, so the above limit system becomes homogeneous, and denote by c_2, $\ldots$, c_n, an arbitrary solution of this homogeneous system. Letting

$$s(r) := \sum_{j=2}^{n} c_j H_{0,\infty}(r, r_j), \quad 0 < r, \tag{19}$$

it follows that

$$s(r_k) = 0, \quad k = 2, \ldots, n,$$

while $s(r_1) = 0$ since $H_{0,\infty}(r_1, t) = 0$. Also, (18) and (19) imply

$$s(r) = ar^2 + b + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j K(r, r_j), \quad 0 < r, \tag{20}$$

where $c_1 := -\sum_{j=2}^{n} c_j$, $a := \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=2}^{n} c_j \ln \frac{r_j}{r_1}$, and $b := -\frac{r_1^2}{4}\sum_{j=2}^{n} c_j \ln \frac{r_j}{r_1}$. Since, for each fixed t, $K(r,t)$ is a C^2-continuous function of r, it is straightforward to verify that s is in fact a non-singular Beppo Levi L_0-spline in the sense of our Definition 1. Invoking Theorem 2, part (b), it follows that s vanishes identically. Therefore, using (14) and (20) to obtain the form of s successively on each interval $(0, r_1)$, (r_1, r_2), $\ldots$, (r_n, ∞), we deduce $c_j = 0$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$, hence $d(0,\infty) \neq 0$, as claimed.

Letting $\widehat{\lambda}_j := d_j(0,\infty)/d(0,\infty)$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$, and defining

$$s_{0,\infty}(r) := \nu_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \widehat{\lambda}_j H_{0,\infty}(r, r_j), \quad 0 < r,$$

it follows that $s_{0,\infty}$ is the pointwise limit of s_{R_1,R_2}. Further, $s_{0,\infty}(r_k) = \nu_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and $s_{0,\infty}$ admits a representation similar to (20), hence $s_{0,\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$. Therefore Theorem 2, part (b), implies $s_{0,\infty} \equiv \sigma^B$. ■

Remark 5 Unlike σ^B, Rabut's minimizer s_{R_1,R_2} satisfies the 'natural' boundary conditions from classical calculus of variations. Indeed, expression (15) and the last two lines of [21, (3.4)] show that the end conditions for s_{R_1,R_2} are formulated by means of identical differential operators at both endpoints R_1 and R_2, in agreement with the 'natural'/'type II' conditions of L-spline literature [20] or [13, Theorem 4].

3.4 Linear representation with dilates of a basis function

We now obtain a representation of the two types of interpolatory Beppo Levi L_0-splines in terms of the following basis function:

$$\varphi_0(r) := \begin{cases} r^2 - r^2 \ln r, & 0 \leq r \leq 1, \\ 1 + \ln r, & 1 < r. \end{cases} \tag{21}$$



Figure 1: Plot of the basis function φ_0.

Note that φ_0 is C^2-continuous at $r = 1$, $G_0\varphi_0(r) = 0$ for $0 < r < 1$, $R_0\varphi_0(r) = 0$ for $1 < r$, and $\varphi_0(0) = 0$.

The expression stated in the next theorem will be used in section 5 to evaluate our Beppo Levi L_0-spline interpolants on a fine mesh and estimate the numerical accuracy of this approximation procedure. Similar representations for the related family of L-splines [6, Lemma 3] have played a crucial role in the construction of Beppo Levi polyspline surfaces which interpolate smooth curves prescribed on concentric circles.

Theorem 4 *Let σ be one of the Beppo Levi L_0-splines σ^A or σ^B satisfying the interpolation conditions* (10) *or* (11) *of Theorem 2 for given values α, ν_1, ..., ν_n. There exist unique coefficients c, a_1, ..., a_n, such that, for all $r \geq 0$, we have the representation*

$$\sigma(r) = c + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \varphi_0\left(\frac{r}{r_k}\right), \tag{22}$$

where, if $\sigma = \sigma^B$, the coefficients also satisfy:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k r_k^{-2} = 0. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Letting $\sigma_1(r)$ denote the right-hand side of (22), the noted properties of φ_0 imply $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ and $c = \sigma_1(0)$. Also, σ_1 is non-singular if and only if (23) holds. Therefore, invoking Theorem 2, we have to prove the existence of unique coefficients such that either σ_1 satisfies (10) in place of σ^A, or (11) and (23) hold with σ_1 in place of σ^B. Since these equations are linear, it is sufficient to assume that all data values α, ν_1, ..., ν_n are zero and prove that each of the two resulting homogeneous systems admits only the trivial solution.

In each of the two cases, from $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ and Theorem 2, part (b), it follows that σ_1 is identically zero on each subinterval. Since the form of σ_1 on

the subinterval $(0, r_1)$ is

$$\sigma_1(r) = c + r^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_k}{r_k^2}(1 + \ln r_k) - r^2 \ln r \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_k}{r_k^2}, \quad r \in (0, r_1),$$

we obtain, in particular, $c = 0$. Also, since a_1 is the coefficient of $\ln r$ in the expression of σ_1 in terms of the basis (6) on the subinterval (r_1, r_2), we obtain $a_1 = 0$, and applying a similar argument on successive subintervals it follows that $a_2 = \ldots = a_n = 0$. This establishes the theorem. ■

Remark 6 Although each dilation $\cdot/r_k$ can be regarded as a 'translation' in the multiplicative topological group $(0, \infty)$, our representation (22) does not belong to the framework of interpolation via Hankel translates recently considered by Arteaga & Marrero [3].

4 Convergence orders

In this section, we establish L^p-error bounds for the interpolatory profiles studied in the previous section. The method of our proofs is based on the error analysis [1, 23] developed for generalized splines and L-splines.

Theorem 5 *For $n \geq 2$ and $r_0 = 0 < r_1 < \ldots < r_n < \infty = r_{n+1}$, let $\rho := \{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$ and $h := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1}(r_{j+1} - r_j)$. Given $f \in \Lambda_0$, let $\alpha := f(0)$ and $\nu_j := f(r_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. If σ denotes one of the corresponding Beppo Levi L_0-spline interpolants σ^A or σ^B obtained in Theorem 2, then, for $l \in \{0, 1\}$,*

$$\left\| f^{(l)} - \sigma^{(l)} \right\|_{L^\infty[r_1, r_n]} \leq \frac{1}{2^{1-l}\sqrt{r_1}} h^{3/2-l} \|f\|_0 . \tag{24}$$

Proof. Let $\psi := f - \sigma$, so $\psi(r_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since $\psi \in C^1(0, \infty)$, Rolle's theorem implies that, for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, there exists $t_j \in (r_j, r_{j+1})$, such that

$$\psi'(t_j) = 0.$$

Let $r^*, t^* \in [r_1, r_n]$ satisfy

$$|\psi(r^*)| = \|\psi\|_{L^\infty[r_1, r_n]}, \quad |\psi'(t^*)| = \|\psi'\|_{L^\infty[r_1, r_n]}, \tag{25}$$

and choose $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $m \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, such that

$$|r^* - r_k| \leq h/2, \quad |t^* - t_m| \leq h. \tag{26}$$

Since ψ' is locally absolutely continuous, it follows that ψ'' is locally integrable and we have the Leibniz-Newton formulae:

$$\begin{array}{l} \psi(r^*) = \psi(r^*) - \psi(r_k) = \int_{r_k}^{r^*} \psi'(r)\, \mathrm{d}r, \\ \psi'(t^*) = \psi'(t^*) - \psi'(t_m) = \int_{t_m}^{t^*} \psi''(r)\, \mathrm{d}r. \end{array} \tag{27}$$

Therefore the first line of (27) and (26) imply the estimate

$$|\psi(r^*)| \leq \left| \int_{r_k}^{r^*} |\psi'(r)| \, \mathrm{d}r \right| \leq \frac{h}{2} \|\psi'\|_{L^\infty[r_1, r_n]}, \tag{28}$$

while, using Cauchy-Schwarz and (26) in the second line of (27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi'(t^*)| &\leq \left| \int_{t_m}^{t^*} |\psi''(r)| \, \mathrm{d}r \right| = \left| \int_{t_m}^{t^*} r^{-1/2} \left[r^{1/2} |\psi''(r)| \right] \mathrm{d}r \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_m}^{t^*} r^{-1} \mathrm{d}r \right|^{1/2} \left| \int_{t_m}^{t^*} r \, |\psi''(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r \right|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{|t^* - t_m|}{r_1} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\infty r \, |\psi''(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq r_1^{-1/2} h^{1/2} \|\psi\|_0 . \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

On the other hand, the first integral relation (13), valid for both σ^A and σ^B, implies

$$\|\psi\|_0 = \|f - \sigma\|_0 \leq \|f\|_0 . \tag{30}$$

The conclusion follows from (25) and (28)–(30). ■

Theorem 6 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5, for $l \in \{0, 1\}$, we have*

$$\left\| f^{(l)} - \sigma^{(l)} \right\|_{L^2[r_1, r_n]} \leq \frac{1}{2^{1-l}\sqrt{r_1}} h^{2-l} \|f\|_0 . \tag{31}$$

Proof. We employ the notations from the proof of Theorem 5, in particular $\psi := f - \sigma$. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we use the following univariate version of the well-known Friedrichs inequality:

$$\int_{r_j}^{r_{j+1}} |\psi(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r \leq \frac{h^2}{4} \int_{r_j}^{r_{j+1}} |\psi'(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r. \tag{32}$$

Indeed, if $m_j := \frac{r_j + r_{j+1}}{2}$ and $r_j \leq r \leq m_j$, then Leibniz-Newton formula, $\psi(r_j) = 0$, and Cauchy-Schwarz imply

$$|\psi(r)|^2 = \left| \int_{r_j}^{r} \psi'(u) \, \mathrm{d}u \right|^2 \leq (r - r_j) \int_{r_j}^{r} |\psi'(u)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}u \leq \frac{h}{2} \int_{r_j}^{m_j} |\psi'(u)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}u,$$

hence, by integration,

$$\int_{r_j}^{m_j} |\psi(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r \leq \frac{h^2}{4} \int_{r_j}^{m_j} |\psi'(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

Now (32) is obtained by adding this to a similar inequality that holds on the interval $[m_j, r_{j+1}]$.

Summing both sides of (32) over j and taking square roots, we obtain

$$\|\psi\|_{L^2[r_1,r_n]} \leq \frac{h}{2} \|\psi'\|_{L^2[r_1,r_n]}. \tag{33}$$

Next, note that ψ'' is square integrable over $[r_1, r_n]$, due to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi''\|^2_{L^2[r_1,r_n]} &= \int_{r_1}^{r_n} \frac{1}{r}\, r\, |\psi''(r)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r_1} \int_{r_1}^{r_n} r\, |\psi''(r)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r_1} \|\psi\|_0^2 \leq \frac{1}{r_1} \|f\|_0^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Hence, for $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $r_j \leq r \leq r_{j+1}$, since ψ' is locally absolutely continuous and $\psi'(t_j) = 0$, where $r_j < t_j < r_{j+1}$, we have

$$|\psi'(r)|^2 = \left| \int_{t_j}^{r} \psi''(u)\,\mathrm{d}u \right|^2 \leq |r - t_j| \int_{t_j}^{r} |\psi''(u)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}u \leq h \int_{r_j}^{r_{j+1}} |\psi''(u)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}u,$$

which implies, via integration, the following analog of (32):

$$\int_{r_j}^{r_{j+1}} |\psi'(r)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}r \leq h^2 \int_{r_j}^{r_{j+1}} |\psi''(r)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}r.$$

Summing once more over j and using (34), we obtain

$$\|\psi'\|^2_{L^2[r_1,r_n]} \leq h^2 \|\psi''\|^2_{L^2[r_1,r_n]} \leq \frac{1}{r_1} h^2 \|f\|_0^2 ,$$

which establishes (31) via (33). ■

Remark 7 The result of Theorem 6 is used in [8], along with similar convergence results for the related class of L-splines studied there, to establish an L^2-error bound for transfinite surface interpolation with biharmonic Beppo Levi polysplines on annuli.

Corollary 1 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5, if $p \in [2, \infty]$ and $l \in \{0, 1\}$, then*

$$\left\| f^{(l)} - \sigma^{(l)} \right\|_{L^p[r_1,r_n]} \leq \frac{1}{2^{1-l}\sqrt{r_1}} h^{3/2+1/p-l} \|f\|_0 . \tag{35}$$

Proof. We employ a classical result on 'interpolation between L^p-spaces' (see [9, p. 175]): if $1 \leq p_0 < p < p_1 \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$, then

$$\|\psi\|_{L^p} \leq \|\psi\|^{1-\theta}_{L^{p_0}} \|\psi\|^{\theta}_{L^{p_1}}, \quad \forall \psi \in L^{p_0} \cap L^{p_1}.$$

Letting $p_0 = 2$, $p_1 = \infty$, it follows that $2(1-\theta) + \frac{3}{2}\theta = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{p}$, hence (35) is a consequence of (24) and (31). Alternatively, a direct proof of (35) for $p \in (2, \infty)$ can be obtained via Jensen's inequality, as in [24, Chapter 6]. ■

Remark 8 For $1 \leq p < 2$, the usual embedding of $L^2[r_1, r_n]$ into $L^p[r_1, r_n]$ shows that the L^2-approximation order $O\left(h^{2-l}\right)$ of (31) also applies to the corresponding L^p-norm of the error.

The final theorem of this section shows that the exponent $3/2$ appearing in Theorem 5 is asymptotically sharp as $h \to 0$, in the sense that it cannot be increased for the class Λ_0 of data functions. A similar result holds for the approximation order obtained in Theorem 6, but is omitted, for brevity. We will make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 2 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space of dimension at least $d+1$ and denote by $\mathcal{H} \subset X^d$ the set of all d-tuples $(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_d)$ of d linearly independent vectors in X. For a given vector $\mathbf{x} \in X$ and any $(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_d) \in \mathcal{H}$, let $\delta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_d)$ be the distance from $\mathbf{x}$ to the sub-space of all linear combinations of $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_d$, i.e.*

$$\delta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_d) = \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_d\}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|.$$

Then $\delta_{\mathbf{x}}$ is a continuous function of $(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_d)$ on $\mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is endowed with the product topology induced from X^d.

Proof. Let $(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_d) \in \mathcal{H}$, $\delta := \delta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_d)$, and, for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, consider a sequence $\{\mathbf{v}_{j,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of vectors in X, such that $\|\mathbf{v}_{j,n} - \mathbf{v}_j\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and $(\mathbf{v}_{1,n}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{d,n}) \in \mathcal{H}$, $\forall n \geq 1$. We have to show that, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the following inequalities hold for all n sufficiently large :

$$\delta - \epsilon \leq \delta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{v}_{1,n}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{d,n}) \leq \delta + \epsilon. \tag{36}$$

Letting $a_1, \ldots, a_d$ be scalars for which $\left\|\mathbf{x} - \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \mathbf{v}_j\right\| = \delta$, and setting $\mathbf{v} := \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \mathbf{v}_{j,n}$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\| \leq \left\|\mathbf{x} - \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \mathbf{v}_j\right\| + \sum_{j=1}^{d} |a_j| \, \|\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_{j,n}\|.$$

Hence $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\| \leq \delta + \epsilon$ for n sufficiently large, which implies the right-side inequality of (36).

For the remaining inequality, we argue by contradiction and assume that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, after selecting and re-indexing a sub-sequence, we have $\delta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{v}_{1,n}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{d,n}) < \delta - \epsilon$, for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, there exist scalar sequences $\{b_{j,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, such that, if $\mathbf{w}_n := \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_{j,n} \mathbf{v}_{j,n}$, then

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}_n\| < \delta - \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \tag{37}$$

Next, we invoke a well-known result [19, Lemma 2.4-1], which guarantees the existence of a constant $K > 0$, such that

$$\|c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \ldots + c_d \mathbf{v}_d\| \geq K$$

for all scalars $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{d} |c_j| = 1$. Also, let n_0 be a positive integer for which $\|\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_{j,n}\| \leq \frac{K}{2}$, $\forall n \geq n_0$, $\forall j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Then, for all scalars $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ as above and all $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j \mathbf{v}_j \right\| - \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j \mathbf{v}_{j,n} \right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d} |c_j| \, \|\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_{j,n}\| \leq \frac{K}{2},$$

hence

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j \mathbf{v}_{j,n} \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j \mathbf{v}_j \right\| - \frac{K}{2} \geq \frac{K}{2}.$$

For all $n \geq n_0$, we obtain, via (37),

$$\frac{K}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} |b_{j,n}| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_{j,n} \mathbf{v}_{j,n} \right\| = \|\mathbf{w}_n\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \delta - \epsilon,$$

and therefore each sequence $\{b_{j,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, is bounded. Selecting convergent sub-sequences, re-indexed such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_{j,n} =: b_j$, and passing to the limit in (37), we deduce the existence of a vector $\mathbf{w} := \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j \mathbf{v}_j$, such that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \delta - \epsilon$, which contradicts the definition of δ. The lemma is proved. ■

Theorem 7 *For each $n \geq 2$, let $h = 1/(n-1)$ and let ρ_h be the set of uniformly spaced knots $r_j = 1 + (j-1)\,h$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, hence $[r_1, r_n] = [1, 2]$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small, there exists $f_\varepsilon \in \Lambda_0$ and a constant $M > 0$, such that*

$$M\, h^{3/2+\varepsilon} \leq \|f_\varepsilon - \sigma_h\|_{L^\infty[1,2]}$$

holds for all sufficiently small $h > 0$ and all $\sigma_h \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho_h)$.

Proof. We adapt the arguments of [23, Theorem 11], using the fact that the null space $\operatorname{Ker} L_0$ described by (6) is a finite-dimensional vector space. Specifically, let $\mu > 0$ and, for each $h \in [0, 1]$, define

$$\alpha(h) = \alpha(h, \mu) = \inf_{\eta \in \operatorname{Ker} L_0} \|t^\mu - \eta(1 + th)\|_{L^\infty[0,1]}.$$

We claim that α is a continuous function of h on $[0, 1]$. To see this, first observe that, for any $\eta \in \operatorname{Ker} L_0$ and $h_0 \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\|\eta(1 + \cdot h) - \eta(1 + \cdot h_0)\|_{L^\infty[0,1]} \to 0, \text{ as } h \to h_0,$$

which is a consequence of the uniform continuity of any such function η on $[1, 2]$. Then use this observation for each of the four basis functions of (6) and apply Lemma 2 with $X := C[0, 1]$, $d := 4$, to deduce $\alpha(h) \to \alpha(h_0)$ as $h \to h_0$.

Also, note that $\alpha(h) > 0$, $\forall h \in [0,1]$. Indeed, this inequality is easily verified if $h = 0$, while, if $h \in (0,1]$, it follows from the fact that $(r-1)^\mu \notin \operatorname{Ker} L_0$, for $r \in [1, 1+h]$. Therefore, letting $\min\limits_{0\le h\le 1} \alpha(h,\mu) =: A(\mu)$, we have $A(\mu) > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{\eta\in\operatorname{Ker} L_0} \|(th)^\mu - \eta(1+th)\|_{L^\infty[0,1]} &= h^\mu \inf_{\eta\in\operatorname{Ker} L_0} \left\|t^\mu - h^{-\mu}\eta(1+th)\right\|_{L^\infty[0,1]} \\ &= h^\mu \inf_{\zeta\in\operatorname{Ker} L_0} \|t^\mu - \zeta(1+th)\|_{L^\infty[0,1]} \\ &\ge h^\mu A(\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Next, let g be a C^∞-smooth function with compact support within $(0,\infty)$, such that $g(r) = 1$, $\forall r \in [1,2]$, and, for $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$f_\varepsilon(r) := g(r)\,|r-1|^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}, \quad r \in (0,\infty). \tag{39}$$

It follows that $f_\varepsilon \in \Lambda_0$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Making the change of variables $r - 1 = th$, $t \in [0,1]$, and using (38) with $\mu := \frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon$, we obtain, for any $\sigma_h \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho_h)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_\varepsilon - \sigma_h\|_{L^\infty[1,2]} &\ge \|f_\varepsilon - \sigma_h\|_{L^\infty[1,1+h]} \ge \inf_{\eta\in\operatorname{Ker} L_0} \|f_\varepsilon - \eta\|_{L^\infty[1,1+h]} \\ &= \inf_{\eta\in\operatorname{Ker} L_0} \left\|(r-1)^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} - \eta(r)\right\|_{L^\infty[1,1+h]} \\ &= \inf_{\eta\in\operatorname{Ker} L_0} \left\|(th)^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} - \eta(1+th)\right\|_{L^\infty[0,1]} \ge h^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} A\left(\tfrac{3}{2}+\varepsilon\right), \end{aligned}$$

hence the conclusion of the theorem holds with $M := A\left(\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon\right)$. ■

5 Numerical results and examples

5.1 Numerical accuracy of L_0-spline interpolation

For a data profile $f \in \Lambda_0$, we denote by σ^A and σ^B the Beppo Levi L_0-spline interpolants described in Theorem 5. To test the numerical accuracy of these two profiles, we partition the interval $[1,2]$ into $n-1$ equal subintervals of mesh-size $h := \frac{1}{n-1}$ by letting $r_j := 1 + h(j-1)$, $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, hence $r_1 = 1$ and $r_n = 2$.

Given n and f, we use Matlab to compute the coefficients of representation (22) for σ^A and σ^B. Specifically, if $\sigma := \sigma^A$, we let $c := f(0)$ and find $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^n$ from the system

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \varphi_0\left(\frac{r_j}{r_k}\right) = f(r_j) - f(0), \quad \forall j \in \{1,\dots,n\},$$

while the coefficients of $\sigma := \sigma^B$ are determined from the corresponding system

$$\begin{aligned} c + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \varphi_0\left(\frac{r_j}{r_k}\right) &= f(r_j), \quad \forall j \in \{1,\dots,n\}, \\ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k r_k^{-2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we use expression (22) to evaluate $\sigma^{A,B}$ numerically on a ten-times finer grid, *i.e.* at 9 equi-spaced points of each subinterval $[r_j, r_{j+1}]$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Our numerical approximation of the uniform error $\left\| f - \sigma^{A,B} \right\|_{L^\infty[1,2]}$ is then computed as

$$E_h^{A,B} := \max \left\{ \left| \left(f - \sigma^{A,B} \right) \left(1 + h\,(j-1) + \frac{hl}{10} \right) \right| : j = 1, \ldots, n-1;\ l = 1, \ldots, 9 \right\}.$$

This procedure is employed successively for $n - 1 := 2^m$, $m \in \{4, 5, \ldots, 10\}$. Since the values of $E_h^{A,B}$ are expected to decay proportionally with h^κ, for a positive constant κ, we also compute the approximations

$$\kappa_h^{A,B} := \log_2 \left(E_h^{A,B} / E_{h/2}^{A,B} \right) \approx \kappa.$$

Tables 1–3 display the numerical results for three data profiles f.

$n-1$	E_h^A	κ_h^A	E_h^B	κ_h^B
16	9.8230×10^{-4}	1.5369	1.0307×10^{-3}	1.5709
32	3.3851×10^{-4}	1.5670	3.4691×10^{-4}	1.5839
64	1.1425×10^{-4}	1.5827	1.1572×10^{-4}	1.5919
128	3.8144×10^{-5}	1.5913	3.8390×10^{-5}	1.5959
256	1.2659×10^{-5}	1.5956	1.2700×10^{-5}	1.5979
512	4.1886×10^{-6}	1.5978	4.1954×10^{-6}	1.5990
1024	1.3838×10^{-6}	–	1.3849×10^{-6}	–

Table 1. $f(r) := f_\varepsilon(r)$ for $\varepsilon = 0.1$

$n-1$	E_h^A	κ_h^A	E_h^B	κ_h^B
16	1.7625×10^{-4}	1.9414	1.8257×10^{-4}	1.9665
32	4.5890×10^{-5}	1.9701	4.6715×10^{-5}	1.9829
64	1.1713×10^{-5}	1.9849	1.1818×10^{-5}	1.9913
128	2.9590×10^{-6}	1.9924	2.9724×10^{-6}	1.9956
256	7.4366×10^{-7}	1.9962	7.4534×10^{-7}	1.9978
512	1.8641×10^{-7}	1.9981	1.8662×10^{-7}	1.9989
1024	4.6663×10^{-8}	–	4.6690×10^{-8}	–

Table 2. $f(r) = r$

$n-1$	E_h^A	κ_h^A	E_h^B	κ_h^B
16	1.5906×10^{-3}	2.0093	1.7113×10^{-3}	1.9712
32	3.9510×10^{-4}	2.0035	4.3646×10^{-4}	1.9840
64	9.8536×10^{-5}	2.0014	1.1033×10^{-4}	1.9916
128	2.4609×10^{-5}	2.0006	2.7744×10^{-5}	1.9957
256	6.1496×10^{-6}	2.0003	6.9566×10^{-6}	1.9978
512	1.5371×10^{-6}	2.0002	1.7418×10^{-6}	1.9989
1024	3.8422×10^{-7}	–	4.3577×10^{-7}	–

Table 3. $f(r) = \cos 3r$

Table 1 refers to the data function f_ε defined by (39) for $\varepsilon = 0.1$. In accord with Theorems 5 and 7, the computed values $\kappa_h^{A,B}$ indicate a clear tendency to converge numerically to the approximation order $\kappa = 1.6$. It can be verified that similar numerical results with $\kappa = \frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon$ hold for other positive values of ε, showing a direct link between the smoothness/singularity of the data function and the approximation order κ.

On the other hand, Tables 2 & 3 refer to C^∞ data functions[1]. Along with similar results that can be observed for other smooth data functions, the two tables suggest the conjecture that, for Beppo Levi L_0-spline interpolation to C^∞-smooth data profiles f, the uniform norm of the error over the interval $[r_1, r_n]$ decays with (saturation) order $O\left(h^2\right)$, as $h \to 0$. The resolution of this conjecture is likely to require different techniques than those employed to establish Theorem 5 and remains a topic for further research. Note that the L^∞-approximation order 2 was also conjectured by Johnson [14] for the related problem of thin plate spline interpolation to scattered data in any planar domain with a smooth boundary.

5.2 Two compactly supported L_0-splines

A remarkable example of a Beppo Levi L_0-spline has recently been obtained independently by Johnson [15] in the context of radial basis function (RBF) methods for multivariable scattered data interpolation. Specifically, the profile denoted by Johnson as η_2, constructed as part of a family of compactly supported and piecewise polyharmonic RBFs, can be expressed as

$$\eta_2(r) = \frac{4}{3}\left[\ln 2 - \varphi_0(r) + \varphi_0\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)\right], \quad r \geq 0,$$

where φ_0 is defined by (21). Letting $\rho = \{1, 2\}$, it follows that $\eta_2 \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ and η_2 is supported on the interval $[0, 2]$. As stipulated in [15, Definition 3.9], the 'singular coefficient' of η_2, *i.e.* the coefficient of $r^2 \ln r$ in the linear representation of η_2 as a member of $\operatorname{Ker} L_0$ over the interval $(0, 1)$, equals 1.

[1] It can be assumed that these data profiles belong to Λ_0 after suitable multiplication by a smooth mollifier which takes the constant value 1 on $[0, 2]$, and which vanishes identically on an interval $[a, \infty)$ with $a > 2$.



Figure 2: **(a)** Plots of η_2 (solid) and β (dash). **(b)** Scaled plots of $F_2\eta_2$ (solid) and $F_2\beta$ (dash).

Note that $k = 2$ is the smallest integer k for which there exists a Beppo Levi L_0-spline profile in $\mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$ with compact support on $[0, k]$, where $\rho = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Alternatively, if the same existence problem is considered for a *non-singular* Beppo Levi L_0-spline (*i.e.*, having a zero singular coefficient), then $k = 3$ is the smallest integer for which this problem admits a solution. More precisely, for $\rho = \{1, 2, 3\}$, there exists, up to a constant factor, a unique $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_0(\rho)$, which is non-singular and compactly supported on $[0, 3]$. It is given by the formula

$$\beta(r) = \frac{1}{5}\left[27\ln 3 - 32\ln 2 + 5\varphi_0(r) - 32\varphi_0\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) + 27\varphi_0\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)\right], \quad r \geq 0.$$

Although not revealed by their plots in Figure 2(a), there is a fundamental property that recommends η_2 against β for use in RBF interpolation applications. Namely, if $F_2\eta_2$ denotes the profile of the Fourier transform of the bivariate radially symmetric extension of η_2, it is proved in [15] that $F_2\eta_2$ satisfies a *Sobolev regularity* condition at ∞. In particular, this implies $(F_2\eta_2)(t) > 0$ for $t \geq 0$, hence η_2 is *positive definite* on $\mathbb{R}^2$. In contrast, using [15] to express $F_2\beta$ in terms of the Bessel coefficient J_0, it is verified numerically that $F_2\beta$ takes both positive and negative values, as can be observed in Figure 2(b).

The reader is referred to the monograph [26] for the role of positive definiteness and Sobolev regularity in RBF interpolation. The dilated profile $\eta_2(2\cdot)$ also appears in the recent paper by Ward and Unser [25, Example 2.4] as a so-called perturbation of a Sobolev spline.

5.3 Examples of interpolatory L_0-splines

In this subsection, we illustrate some examples of Beppo Levi L_0-splines that interpolate specific numerical data. Namely, we choose the cases of two knots $\rho = \{1, 2\}$ in Figure 3 and three knots $\rho = \{1, 2, 5\}$ in Figure 4, with corresponding interpolation values $\nu_1 = 1$, $\nu_2 = 0$, $\nu_3 = 0.5$. Note that this data is also used in Rabut's numerical examples [21], except that in those examples ν_3 has a value that is close to, but different from 0.5.



Figure 3: Two knots $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = 2$, and interpolation values $\nu_1 = 1$, $\nu_2 = 0$. Thick curve: σ^A for $\alpha = \frac{4 \ln 2}{5 \ln 2 - 3} \approx 5.95$. Thin curve: σ^B. Dash curve: σ^A for $\alpha = -1$.



Figure 4: Three knots $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = 2$, $r_3 = 5$, and interpolation values $\nu_1 = 1$, $\nu_2 = 0$, $\nu_3 = 0.5$. Thick curve: σ^A for $\alpha = 4$. Thin curve: σ^B. Dash curve: σ^A for $\alpha = -1$.

Using formula (22), our Beppo Levi L_0-spline interpolants are expressed as

$$\sigma(r) = c + a_1\varphi_0(r) + a_2\varphi_0\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) + a_3\varphi_0\left(\frac{r}{5}\right), \quad r \geq 0, \tag{40}$$

where $a_3 = 0$ in the case of only two knots. In each of the two figures, the thin line plot represents the non-singular interpolant σ^B of Theorem 2, whose coefficients satisfy the additional relation (23), *i.e.* $a_1 + a_2/4 + a_3/25 = 0$, besides the interpolation conditions. The thick and dash line plots correspond to interpolants of the type of σ^A in Theorem 2, for which $\sigma^A(0) = \alpha$, a prescribed value.

Note that, in the case of two knots considered in Figure 3, there exists α for which σ^A becomes a constant multiple of Johnson's profile η_2 described in the previous subsection. This special value $\alpha = \frac{4\ln 2}{5\ln 2 - 3} \approx 5.95$ is used to generate the thick curve of Figure 3.

We remark that the thin line graphs of Figures 3 and 4 correspond to Rabut's limit case in which $R_1 = 0$ and $R_2 = \infty$. In this case, Rabut's observations [21, pp. 250–251] on the plot behaviour at 0 and at ∞ can be explained, via our Proposition 1, by the form of the non-singular Beppo Levi L_0-spline σ^B on the extreme subintervals.

6 Conclusion

We employed a L-spline approach to the problem of minimizing the radial version (3) of the Beppo Levi energy integral over the full semi-axis $(0, \infty)$, subject to interpolation conditions. This treatment led us to the identification of singular/non-singular solution profiles, which were expressed as linear combinations of dilates of a basis function. For $p \geq 2$ and data functions from the radial energy space, our analysis proved the exact L^p-approximation power $3/2 + 1/p$ of the L-spline profiles. This result is further used in the sequel paper [8] to derive a L^2-error bound for surface interpolation through curves prescribed on concentric circles.

Additional research is needed to establish the improved approximation order observed in our numerical experiments for C^∞ data functions. Also, the close connections of Beppo Levi L-splines with RBF and polyspline surfaces motivate the future extension of this work to higher order radially symmetric piecewise polyharmonic surfaces.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful for the referees' feedback, which has led to an improved presentation in the revised version.

References

[1] J.H. Ahlberg, E.N. Nilson, J.L. Walsh, The Theory of Splines and Their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1967.

[2] R.S. Al-Sahli, L-spline Interpolation and Biharmonic Polysplines on Annuli, MSc Thesis, Kuwait University (2012).

[3] C. Arteaga, I. Marrero, A scheme for interpolation by Hankel translates of a basis function, J. Approx. Theory 164 (2012), 1540–1576.

[4] A. Bejancu, Semi-cardinal polyspline interpolation with Beppo Levi boundary conditions, J. Approx. Theory 155 (2008), 52–73.

[5] A. Bejancu, Transfinite thin plate spline interpolation, Constr. Approx. 34 (2011), 237–256.

[6] A. Bejancu, Thin plate splines for transfinite interpolation at concentric circles, Math. Model. Anal. 18 (2013), 446–460.

[7] A. Bejancu, Beppo Levi polyspline surfaces, in: The Mathematics of Surfaces XIV, R.J. Cripps, G. Mullineux, M.A. Sabin (Eds.), The Institute of Mathematics and its Applications, UK, 2013; ISBN 978-0-905091-30-3.

[8] A. Bejancu, R.S. Al-Sahli, A new class of interpolatory L-splines with adjoint end conditions, in: Curves and Surfaces 2014, J.-D. Boissonnat et al. (Eds.), LNCS 9213, Springer, 2015 (in press).

[9] C. Bennett, R. Sharpley, Interpolation of Operators, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[10] R. Cavoretto, G.E. Fasshauer, M.J. McCourt, An introduction to the Hilbert-Schmidt SVD using iterated Brownian bridge kernels, Numer. Algor. 68 (2015), 393–422.

[11] J. Duchon, Interpolation des fonctions de deux variables suivant le principe de la flexion des plaques minces, RAIRO Anal. Numer. 10 (1976), 5–12.

[12] R.L. Harder, R.N. Desmarais, Interpolation using surface splines, J. Aircraft 9 (1972), 189–191.

[13] J. Jerome, J. Pierce, On spline functions determined by singular self-adjoint differential operators, J. Approx. Theory 5 (1972), 15–40.

[14] M.J. Johnson, The L_p-approximation order of surface spline interpolation for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, Constr. Approx. 20 (2004), 303–324.

[15] M.J. Johnson, Compactly supported, piecewise polyharmonic radial functions with prescribed regularity, Constr. Approx. 35 (2012), 201–223.

[16] S. Karlin, Z. Ziegler, Tchebycheffian spline functions, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 3 (1966), 514–543.

[17] O.I. Kounchev, Minimizing the Laplacian of a function squared with prescribed values on interior boundaries—theory of polysplines, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 350 (5) (1998), 2105–2128.

[18] O.I. Kounchev, Multivariate Polysplines. Applications to Numerical and Wavelet Analysis, Academic Press, London, 2001.

[19] E. Kreyszig, Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.

[20] T.R. Lucas, A generalization of L-splines, Numer. Math. 15 (1970), 359–370.

[21] C. Rabut, Interpolation with radially symmetric thin plate splines, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 73 (1996), 241–256.

[22] M.A. Sabin, Spline surfaces, Technical Report VTO/MS/156, Dynamics & Mathematical Services, British Aircraft Corporation Ltd., Weymouth, UK, 1969.

[23] M.H. Schultz, R.S. Varga, L-splines, Numer. Math. 10 (1967), 345–369.

[24] L.L. Schumaker, Spline Functions: Basic Theory, third ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[25] J.P. Ward, M. Unser, Approximation properties of Sobolev splines and the construction of compactly supported equivalents, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46 (2014), 1843–1858.

[26] H. Wendland, Scattered Data Approximation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.